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Abstract: This paper considers the framework of component-based software and illustrates the usage of intuitionistic fuzzy numbers in imprecise software reliability modeling and computing. It is shown how intuitionistic triangular and trapezoidal fuzzy numbers can be used in computing the intuitionistic fuzzy reliability of serial, parallel and hybrid software architectures.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Component-based software design, implementation, testing, and reusing are the most important steps of a recent methodology facilitating agility in software project management [1, 2]. It can be described by the usage of reusable components as the building blocks for constructing software [4]. According to [8], “software assets, or components, include all software products, from requirements and proposals, to specifications and design, to user manuals and test suites”. Also, the software analysis depends on three assets [11]: code, specification, and test received.

In order to establish the terminology used in this paper, by software component we refer to any of the following assets: functions (belonging to a reusable library), modules (or classes), libraries (collections of reusable functions), packages (collections of reusable classes), and applications (programs to be reused by “exec” service, including code, files, and databases). We do not refer to any specification or tests, even these are very important when analyze the software quality.

From viewpoint of software methodologies paradigms, an important step toward efficient reuse of software components consists of the evolution from reusable function libraries to object-oriented class libraries reaching a new stage called: reusable application framework and platform [6].

The aims of component-based methodology are to achieve multiple quality objectives related to structuredness, reliability, usability, consistency, conciseness, completeness, portability, security, maintainability, testability, and efficiency. Other quality aspects refer to the verification costs’ minimization, the software reliability increase, and to the development time reduction. Recently, new quality influential factors were added: trust-ability, interoperability, transparency and extensibility [2, 13]. For the aim of this paper only the reliability characteristic will be considered.

According to [15], a software component is defined as “a unit of composition with contractually specified interfaces and explicit context dependencies only. A software component can be deployed independently and is subject to composition by third parties”. The individual components can be tested and evaluated independently [6, 7, 9]. The whole system reliability depends on the reliability of every component and the architectural model. Following the standard model, if the system consists of n components with reliabilities \( R_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), and an execution path is given, for instance: 1, 4, 3, 4, 2, 1, 4, 3, \( n \), then the path reliability is \( R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3 \times R_4 \times R_2 \times R_3 \times R_4 \times R_5 \times R_6 \). The system reliability can be estimated by averaging over all path reliabilities [9]. A probabilistic approach based on the probabilities of using the components will be described in the next section. The approach is a special case of component based statistical software blocks reliability analysis.

The most natural approach considers that the reliability characteristics are independent of the software age. However, the performance of the software would decrease in time due to inappropriate management of the data structures (files, lists, trees and graphs, dynamic arrays). Therefore, the software without periodically maintenance, or rejuvenation, would loose fractions of initial running speed. This will affect the quality of the software from the customer point of view.

In this paper the software reliability optimization problem is studied when complex architectures are used, and various constraints applied. Firstly, the classical optimization approach, described in [12], will be outlined. Then, some aspects on reliability prediction for component-based software architectures will be discussed, and new formulas and interpretations will be given in the context of intuitionistic fuzzy paradigm [3, 10].

Finally, a discussion related to the practical usage of the proposed methods and conclusions will be provided.

2 THE BASIC SOFTWARE RELIABILITY MODEL

Following [12], let \( n \) be the number of software components, \( \sigma \) the reliability system target (\( 0 < \sigma < 1 \)) and \( \lambda \) be the length of the mission interval (\( T > 0 \)). Let us consider the exponential model, which is a good assumption when software rejuvenation is not used. For all \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), let \( \lambda_j \) be the failure intensity of the \( j^{th} \)
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component. Hence, the probability density function of the random variable giving the time to failure of the \( j^{th} \) component is:

\[ f_j(t) = \lambda_j \exp(-\lambda_j t), \]

with the corresponding reliability function:

\[ R(t) = \exp(-\lambda_j t). \]

Let \( R(\lambda_j; t) \) be the reliability of the \( j^{th} \) component:

\[ R(\lambda_j; t) = \exp(-\lambda_j t). \]

For a serial composition, the reliability of the system can be computed as the product:

\[ R(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} R(\lambda_j; t). \]

If we consider information concerning the operational profile, \( T \) - the time mission, \( p_i \) - the probability of executing the operation \( i \), and \( \phi_i \) - the time allocated to the component \( j \), then the expected proportion of the total mission time that the software spends executing in component \( j \), denoted by \( \tau_j \), is given by:

\[ \tau_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \phi_i. \]

Therefore, the reliability of the \( j^{th} \) component with respect to the proportion of time it runs is:

\[ R(\lambda_j; T) = \exp(-\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \phi_i \lambda_j; T) = \exp(-\tau_j \lambda_j; T), \]

and the reliability of the integrated system with respect to time mission \( T \) is given by:

\[ R(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n; T) = \exp(-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \phi_i \lambda_j; T). \]

3 INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY MODELLING

3.1 From GFNs to IFNs

The fuzzy sets were introduced in 1965 by Zadeh [17], while the intuitionistic fuzzy sets were considered, in 1983, by Atanassov [3].

Chen [5], in 1985, represented a generalized fuzzy numbers (GFN) by a 5-tuple \((a, b, c, d; w)\) of five real numbers, such that \(0 < w \leq 1\) and \(a < b < c < d\). The GFN denoted by \( A \) is a fuzzy subset of the real line \( R \), whose membership function \( \mu_A \) satisfies the following conditions:

1. \( \mu_A : R \rightarrow [0, 1] \);
2. \( \mu_A(x) = 0 \), for \(-\infty < x \leq a \);
3. \( \mu_A \) is strictly increasing on \([a, b] \);
4. \( \mu_A(x) = w \), for \( x \in [b, c] \);
5. \( \mu_A \) is strictly decreasing on \([c, d] \);
6. \( \mu_A(x) = 0 \), for \( d < x < \infty \).

According to [10], the membership model of a Generalized Triangular Fuzzy Number (GTFN), given by \((a, b, c, d; w)\), can be written as:

\[ \mu_A^w(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-a}{b-a}, & \text{for } a \leq x \leq b \\ \frac{c-x}{c-b}, & \text{for } b \leq x \leq c \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

For an universe of discourse defined by \( X \), the intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) \( A \) in \( X \) is characterized by a membership function \( \mu_A \) and a non-membership function \( \nu_A \), where \( \mu_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1] \), and \( \nu_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1] \). For each point \( x \) in \( X \), \( \mu_A(x) \) (resp. \( \nu_A(x) \)) is the degree of membership (resp. non-membership) of \( x \) in \( A \), with \( 0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1 \). An intuitionistic fuzzy set becomes a fuzzy set if \( \nu_A(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \) in \( A \).

The operations on IFS can be introduced according to the generalized fuzzy set theory. Some examples follow:

\[ A \cap B = \{ x, \min(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), \max(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)) \}, x \in X \}; \]
\[ A \cup B = \{ x, \max(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)), \min(\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)) \}, x \in X \}; \]
\[ A + B = \{ x, \mu_A(x) + \nu_B(x) - \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x), \nu_B(x) \}, x \in X \}; \]
\[ AB = \{ x, \mu_A(x)\mu_B(x), \nu_A(x)\nu_B(x), \nu_A(x), \nu_B(x) \}, x \in X \}. \]

An intuitionistic fuzzy number (IFN) can also be defined using the template of GTFNs. The most used IFNs are the Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers (TIFNs). The TIFN \( A \) is described by five real numbers \((a_1, a_2, a_3; a^-, a^+)\), \( a^- \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3 \leq a^+ \), and two triangular functions

\[ \mu_A(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-a_1}{a_2-a_1}, & \text{for } a_1 \leq x \leq a_2 \\ \frac{a_3-x}{a_3-a_2}, & \text{for } a_2 \leq x \leq a_3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]
Another important intuitionistic numbers have a trapezoidal shape. Let \( a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3 \leq a_4 \leq a'_4 \). A Trapezoidal Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number \( A \) in \( R \) (TrIFN), written as \((a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4; a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4)\), has the membership function

\[
\mu_A(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{x-a_1}{a_2-a_1}, & \text{for } a_1 \leq x \leq a_2 \\
1, & \text{for } a_2 \leq x \leq a_3 \\
\frac{a_4-x}{a_4-a_3}, & \text{for } a_3 \leq x \leq a_4 \\
0, & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases}
\]

and the non-membership function

\[
v_A(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{x-a'_1}{a_2-a_1}, & \text{for } a_1 \leq x \leq a_2 \\
0, & \text{for } a_2 \leq x \leq a_3 \\
\frac{a_4-x}{a_4-a_3}, & \text{for } a_3 \leq x \leq a_4 \\
1, & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases}
\]

The arithmetic operation, denoted generically by \(*\), of two IFNs, is a mapping of an input subset of \( R \times R \) (with elements \( x = (x_1, x_2) \)) onto an output subset of \( R \) (with elements denoted by \( y \)). Let \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) be two IFN, and \((A_1 * A_2)\) the resultant of the operation \(*\). Then:

\[
(A_1 * A_2)(y) = \begin{cases} 
\bigcup_{x_1, x_2 \in R} [A_1(x_1) \wedge A_2(x_2)], & \forall x_1, x_2, y \in R 
\end{cases}
\]

with

\[
\mu_{A_1 * A_2}(y) = \vee_{y = x_1 * x_2} \left[ A_1(x_1) \wedge A_2(x_2) \right],
\]

and

\[
v_{A_1 * A_2}(y) = \wedge_{y = x_1 * x_2} \left[ A_1(x_1) \vee A_2(x_2) \right].
\]

The arithmetic operations on IFNs can be defined using the \((\alpha, \beta)\) - cuts method. Let \( \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1] \) be fixed numbers such that \( \alpha + \beta \leq 1 \). A set of \((\alpha, \beta)\) - cut generated by an IFN \( A \) is defined by:

\[
A_{\alpha,\beta} = \{x, \mu_A(x), v_A(x) : x \in X, \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha, v_A(x) \leq \beta\}.
\]

The \((\alpha, \beta)\) - cut of a TrIFN is given by

\[
A_{\alpha,\beta} = \left\{ [A_1(\alpha), A_2(\alpha)], [A_1(\beta), A_2(\beta)] \right\},
\]

where:

i) \( A_1(\alpha) \), and \( A_2(\beta) \) are continuous, monotonic increasing functions of \( \alpha \), respective \( \beta \);

ii) \( A_2(\alpha) \), and \( A_1(\beta) \) are continuous, monotonic decreasing functions of \( \alpha \), respective \( \beta \);

iii) \( A_1(0) = A_2(1) \), and \( A_1(1) = A_2(0) \).

When using the 5-tuple notation, we obtain:

\[
A_1(\alpha) = a_1 + \alpha(a_2 - a_1),
\]

\[
A_2(\alpha) = a_3 - \alpha(a_3 - a_2),
\]

\[
A_1(\beta) = a_2 - \beta(a_2 - a'),
\]

and

\[
A_2(\beta) = a_2 + \beta(a'' - a_2).
\]

To fulfill the aim of this paper we need the following properties [10]:

1. If TrIFN \( A = (a_1, a_2, a_3; a'_1, a''_1) \), and \( k > 0 \), then the TrIFN \( kA \) is given by \((ka_1, ka_2, ka_3, ka'_1, ka''_1)\).

2. If TrIFN \( A = (a_1, a_2, a_3; a'_1, a''_1) \), and \( k < 0 \), then the TrIFN \( kA \) is given by \((ka_1, ka_2, ka_3, ka'_1, ka''_1)\).

3. If \( A = (a_1, a_2, a_3; a'_1, a''_1) \) and \( B = (b_1, b_2, b_3; b'_1, b''_1) \) are TIFNs, then the TIFN \( A \oplus B \) is defined by \((a_1+b_1, a_2+b_2, a_3+b_3; a'_1+b'_1, a''_1+b''_1)\);

4. If \( A = (a_1, a_2, a_3; a'_1, a''_1) \) and \( B = (b_1, b_2, b_3; b'_1, b''_1) \) are TIFNs, then the TIFN \( A \otimes B \) is defined by \((a_1b_1, a_2b_2, a_3b_3; a'_1b'_1, a''_1b''_1)\).

The above results can be proved using the \((\alpha, \beta)\) - cuts method.

The \((\alpha, \beta)\) - cut of a TrIFN is defined as usually, by

\[
A_{\alpha,\beta} = \left\{ [A_1(\alpha), A_2(\alpha)], [A_1(\beta), A_2(\beta)] \right\},
\]

where

\[
A_1(\alpha) = a_1 + \alpha(a_2 - a_1),
\]

\[
A_2(\alpha) = a_3 - \alpha(a_3 - a_2),
\]

\[
A_1(\beta) = a_2 - \beta(a_2 - a'),
\]

and

\[
A_2(\beta) = a_2 + \beta(a'' - a_2).
\]

Also, the above operations defined for TrIFNs have similar properties:
8. If \( A = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4; a_1', a_2', a_3', a_4') \) and \( B = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4; b_1', b_2', b_3', b_4') \) are TrIFNs, then the TrIFN \( A \otimes B \) is defined by \( (a_1b_1; a_2b_2; a_3b_3; a_4b_4; a_1'b_1'; a_2'b_2'; a_3'b_3'; a_4'b_4') \).

### 3.2 Intuitionistic fuzzy software reliability

For simplicity reason we assume that every component is called only once, but this is not a serious constraint.

a) Using TIFNs

Let \( S \) be a software system integrating a number of components according to a serial architecture,  
\[
S = \text{SEQ} \ C_1; C_2; \ldots , C_n; \text{END}.
\]

This is the case of single processor computer systems running the software during a period of time \( T \). If \( R \) is the triangular intuitionistic fuzzy reliability of the \( j \)-th component \( C_j \), then \( R' = (r_1j; r_2j; r_3j; r_4j; r_1'; r_2'; r_3'; r_4') \), then
\[
R_S = R_1 \otimes R_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes R_n,
\]
defined by \( (r_1; r_2; r_3; r_4; r_1'; r_2'; r_3'; r_4') \), with:
\[
r_i = \prod_{j=1}^{n} r_{ij}, i = 1, 2, 3, r_i' = \prod_{j=1}^{n} r_{ij}', \text{and } r_i'' = \prod_{j=1}^{n} r_{ij}''.
\]

If \( S \) is a software system composed by \( n \) items running in parallel:
\[
S = \text{PAR} \ C_1; C_2; \ldots , C_n; \text{END},
\]
using the above notations, we evaluate the triangular intuitionistic fuzzy reliability of \( S \) by:
\[
R_S = 10 \prod_{j=1}^{n} (10R_j),
\]
defined by \( (r_1; r_2; r_3; r_4; r_1'; r_2'; r_3'; r_4') \), with:
\[
r_i = 1 - \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 - r_{ij}), i = 1, 2, 3, \]
\[
r_i' = 1 - \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 - r_{ij}'), \text{and }  
\]
\[
r_i'' = 1 - \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 - r_{ij}''),
\]

b) Using TrIFNs

If \( R_j \) is the trapezoidal intuitionistic fuzzy reliability of the \( j \)-th component, and \( R_S \) is the trapezoidal intuitionistic fuzzy reliability of the entire serial system (with \( n \) items), and \( R_j = (r_{j1}; r_{j2}; r_{j3}; r_{j4}; r_{j1}'; r_{j2}'; r_{j3}'; r_{j4}'), \) then
\[
R_S = R_1 \otimes R_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes R_n,
\]
defined by \( (r_1; r_2; r_3; r_4; r_1'; r_2'; r_3'; r_4') \), with:
\[
r_i = \prod_{j=1}^{n} r_{ij}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, r_i' = \prod_{j=1}^{n} r_{ij}', \text{and } r_i'' = \prod_{j=1}^{n} r_{ij}''.
\]

If \( S \) is a parallel software system composed by \( n \) items, using the above notations, we evaluate the trapezoidal intuitionistic fuzzy reliability of \( S \) by:
\[
R_S = 10 \prod_{j=1}^{n} (10R_j),
\]
defined by \( (r_1; r_2; r_3; r_4; r_1'; r_2'; r_3'; r_4') \), with:
\[
r_i = 1 - \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 - r_{ij}), i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \]
\[
r_i' = 1 - \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 - r_{ij}'), \text{and }  
\]
\[
r_i'' = 1 - \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 - r_{ij}'').
\]

Using the above methodology intuitionistic fuzzy reliability formulas can be derived for hybrid software architectures.

### 4 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we consider subjects like software reliability estimation based on user profile. Firstly we introduce the component-based software engineering paradigm as a new methodology for development and deployment of reliable, secure and high quality software. Next, based on probabilistic thinking and statistical testing we describe a reliability model for software systems when considering the operational profile. The intuitionistic fuzzy paradigm is considered in the third section. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, operations on IFS, triangular and trapezoidal intuitionistic fuzzy numbers are described and used to model the reliability of serial, parallel, and hybrid systems.

The study presented here is relevant for architectures based on components.
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